

1
2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Douglas E Fuqua,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Charles L Ryan, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

No. CV-18-08193-PCT-DWL

ORDER

15 Pending before the Court are Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
16 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 6) and the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of
17 the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. 18). The R&R, which was issued on September
18 3, 2019, recommended that the petition be denied and dismissed with prejudice and further
19 provided that "[t]he parties shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy
20 of this recommendation within which to file specific written objections with the Court."
21 (Doc. 18 at 15.)

22 Here, no such objections have been filed. Thus, the Court accepts the Magistrate
23 Judge's recommendation. *See, e.g., Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149-50 (1985) ("It does
24 not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual
25 or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to
26 those findings."); *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) ("[N]o
27 review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are
28 filed."). *See also United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1221 (9th Cir. 2003)


1 (“[T]he district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations
2 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise.”).

3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS ORDERED** that the R&R’s recommended disposition (Doc. 18) is **accepted**,
5 that the Petition (Doc. 6) is **denied and dismissed with prejudice**, and that the Clerk of
6 Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability and leave to
8 proceed in forma pauperis on appeal be **denied** because petitioner has not made a
9 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and because the dismissal of the
10 petition is justified by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not find the
11 procedural ruling debatable.

12 Dated this 27th day of September, 2019.

13
14
15 
16 _____
17 Dominic W. Lanza
18 United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28